

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/923,122	08/06/2001	Gilberto Bestetti	6330.01	9725	
75	90 09/18/2003				
DAVID E. BRUHN DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			HAYES, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 1500, 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498 ART UNIT PAP				PAPER NUMBER	
Will Will Obl	5, 1111 55 102 1 190		3763	7	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

k				Λ	.K			
		Applicati	n No.	Applicant(s)	1			
		09/923,122	2	BESTETTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael J H	`	3763				
Pridfo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failun - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nunication. 10) days, a reply within the statul atutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>06 August 2001</u>	.•					
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prace on of Claims	n for allowance except tice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	for formal matters, property of the second s	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 20-25</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from con	sideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election re	quirement.					
• •	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by th			Ale a Francisca				
10) 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 20</u>							
44)	Applicant may not request that any ob							
السالاا	If approved, corrected drawings are re			Trouby the Examiner.				
12) 🗆 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to		ioo dolloni.					
,	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o by the Examiner						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	All b) Some * c) None of:	Tior loroign phonty and	401 00 0.0.0.3 110(-, (4) (.,.				
a) _k	_	documents have been	n received.					
	 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/048,682. 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interr	of the priority docume	nts have been receive					
* S	application from the inter- see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign landshowledgment is made of a claim							
Attachmen		-						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/923,122

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 20-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BOKROS (U. S. Patent No. 3,783,868). Bokros discloses an implantable device having a cylindrical shaft including a port fin, anchoring fin, and anchoring plate where the anchoring plate radially extends away from the surface of the body. See figs. 2, 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BOKROS as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of SVENSSON et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,098,397). Bokros discloses the claimed invention except for fins protruding from the anchoring plate. Svensson teaches fins protruding from the anchoring plate in order to stabilize the plate in its implanted position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Svensson in the device of Borkros to prevent unwanted movement of an attached tube.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BOKROS as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of ABOUL-HOSN (U. S. Patent No. 5,741,234). Bokros discloses the claimed invention except for the periphery farther from the skin than the rest of the plate. Aboul-Hosn teaches an anchoring plate 25 where its periphery farther from the skin than the rest of the plate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Aboul-Hosn in the device of Bokros in order to facilitate movement of the anchoring plate to minimize its effective diameter when placed or removed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Begovac et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,321,914) and Bentley et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,183,357) show anchoring plates with fins and/or anchoring plate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9302. The fax number for submitting after final papers is (703) 872-9303.

15 September 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER